Cretaceous accretionary complex related to Okhotsk-Chukotka Subduction, Omgon Range, Western Kamchatka, Russian Far East

Alexey Soloviev,*, John I. Garver, Galina Ledneva

*Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

bUnion College, Geology Department, Schenectady, NY 12308, USA

Received 20 July 2004; revised 1 February 2005; accepted 28 April 2005

Abstract

The Omgon Range of Western Kamchatka contains a mid to Upper Cretaceous sequence of flysch with tectonic inclusions of Jurassic–Cretaceous oceanic rocks inferred to have been imbricated together in an accretionary prism. These rocks were tectonically juxtaposed during the Cretaceous in a mélange that contains a number of elements but mainly includes: (1) Middle Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous volcanic rocks formed in an oceanic and/or marginal sea environment; and (2) Albian–Cretaceous terrigenous turbidites made of quartz-rich clastic sediments that accumulated near a continental-margin. The volcanic rocks are inferred to have been tectonically incorporated into the continental terrigenous unit by offscraping during subduction. The accretionary prism resulted from subduction of the Pacific paleo-oceanic plate (Izanagi) under the Eurasian continental margin, which ultimately caused volcanism in the inboard Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt. Internal imbrication was completed by the Maastrichtian (~70 Ma) as indicated by apatite fission-track ages that record cooling and exhumation of this crustal block. The Omgon accretionary wedge originated in a similar geodynamic setting and same time as the Yanranai (northern Korayk), Tonino–Aniva (southeastern Sakhalin), Hidaka (northeastern Japan) and Cretaceous part of the Shimanto belt (southwestern Japan). The similarities of ages, lithology, and tectonic setting suggest that the Omgon accretionary wedge was part of a paleo-subduction zone along the Eurasian margin during the mid to Late Cretaceous.
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1. Introduction

Northeast Asia includes oceanic and arc terranes of Paleozoic to Cenozoic age that have been swept into the margin from the Late Jurassic to Tertiary (Stavsky et al., 1990; Nokleberg et al., 1998). A dominant feature of the geology along this margin is the Cretaceous Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt (OCVB), which is a continental arc built on part of this collage of terranes. The OCVB represents a laterally extensive Andean-style arc that persisted along the southern margin of the western and northern edge of what is now the modern limit of the Sea of Okhotsk and Bering shelf (Filatova, 1988; Nokleberg et al., 1998). The duration of magmatic activity in OCVB is debated but generally thought to include the Middle Albian to Campanian time (Belyy, 1977, 1994; Filatova, 1988; Zonenshain et al., 1990; Kotlyar et al., 2001). It sits on a collage of terranes that were assembled prior to the Albian. Calc-alkaline volcanic rocks from the exterior zone show that magmatism occurred locally between 86 and 81 Ma, and basaltic rocks with within-plate geochemical affinities yielded ages ranging from 78 to 74 Ma (Hourigan, 2003). The outboard forearc to this continental arc is less well defined and only partly exposed due to cover by adjacent offshore marine basins and younger strata.

One important question for regional tectonic reconstructions is the continuity and lateral extent of subduction accretion associated with this important continental arc, and this question highlights two primary motivations for our focus on these units. First, we are interested in understanding the history of long-term subduction accretion of oceanic material to the paleomargin. This material includes a number of oceanic complexes and turbiditic assemblages that record the dispersal of petrotectonic elements along...
the margin, mostly northward on Pacific plates. Second, we are interested in understanding the continuity of petrotectonic assemblages along the paleomargin, which has been disrupted and covered by younger elements. One of those elements is normal faulting in the Sea of Okhotsk, which has disrupted accreted assemblages through progressive north-to south-extension and wholesale crustal thinning. Another is the deposition of volcanic and sedimentary sequences related to subsequent arc-related volcanism, which is represented by the modern Kamchatka-Kurile arc. Together, the continuity of the Cretaceous paleomargin has been obscured and therefore correlation of disparate blocks has been challenging.

A detailed understanding of the lithology, structural history, age and origin of internal blocks, and thermal history of accretionary complexes can provide important insight into their setting and mode of emplacement. One distinctive attribute of accretionary complexes is their thermal history. Fission-track studies of exposed accretionary wedges have been carried out in a number of places in the Pacific Rim including southwest Japan (Shimanto belt; see Hasebe et al., 1993; Hasebe and Tagami, 2001), and the west coast of North America (Franciscan Complex; Dumitru, 1989; and Olympic Subduction Complex; Brandon and Vance, 1992; Brandon et al., 1998; Stewart and Brandon, 2004). The accretionary wedge complexes related to the OCVB subduction are poorly known because much of the likely outcrop belt is offshore in the Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk, or in a remote and logistically complicated region on the northern Kamchatka Peninsula. Therefore, little is know of these units, which we believe are a crucial to paleotectonic reconstructions in this area.

Our research is focused on rocks of very limited geographic extent in the Omon Range, which are some of the westernmost pre-Tertiary rocks on the Kamchatka Peninsula (Fig. 1). In fact there are no other rocks like this on the Kamchatka peninsula, so they provide a glimpse of what is largely buried geology in this area. Our study is based on mapping, structural analysis, geochemistry of included blocks, and fission-track dating of detrital zircon and apatite from sandstones of the flysch complex. These studies resulted in the conclusion that the rock complexes of the Omgon Range are fragments of a Cretaceous accretionary prism presumably related to OCVB subduction. As such, this small isolated exposure, largely surrounded by Tertiary sedimentary strata, is perhaps the single remaining onland exposure of this tectonostratigraphic unit.
2. Geological overview

The geology of the Kamchatka Peninsula is dominated by the Neogene arc and related cover rocks. Pre-Tertiary rocks in Kamchatka generally occur as isolated exposures, but are present throughout the Peninsula. Pre-Tertiary rocks in Western Kamchatka are uncommon and exposed as widely scattered, isolated remote exposures that are very difficult to access due to limited infrastructure. Although pre-Tertiary rocks are exposed along the western coast of Kamchatka, rocks in the Omgon Range are quite distinct from others in age and structure (Fig. 1), and have long been regarded as unique.

The Omgon Peninsula juts out into the poorly understood Sea of Okhotsk, and therefore the rocks likely provide insight into the evolution of this basin. The Sea of Okhotsk is floored almost exclusively by thinned continental crust. Relatively little is known of the age and mechanism of formation of the Sea of Okhotsk because the nature of basement lithologies is almost completely unknown (Hourigan, 2003). Crustal rocks that floor the Sea of Okhotsk have been considered an example of: (1) captured oceanic plateau (Watson and Fujita, 1985; Bogdanov and Dobretsov, 2002); (2) accreted microcontinent block (Parfenov and Natal’ in, 1977; Zonenshain et al., 1990; Konstantinovskiaia, 2001), or (3) extended continental framework of accreted terranes (Hourigan, 2003). Either way, the lack of real data has allowed flexibility of geological models. One of the biggest problems is that basement rock has never been drilled, so most of what is known is inferred from seismic data or dredging, but dredged material is regarded as suspect due to possible ice-rafting.

The collided-block model holds that an allochthonous sialic or oceanic plateau collided with the margin of northeastern Asia in the Late Cretaceous, resulting in the cessation of magmatism in the Andean-style Okhotsk-Chukotka belt (Parfenov and Natal’ in, 1977; Zonenshain et al., 1990; Konstantinovskiaia, 2001; Bogdanov and Dobretsov, 2002). In some models, the microcontinental block forms the basement of the Sea of Okhotsk and extends on land on the Kamchatka Peninsula. One such place where this rock is exposed onshore may be in the metamorphic rocks in the Sredinniy Range (Khanchuk, 1985). One new hypothesis is that the Omgon-Palana belt (north and west of Sredinniy) is a collision zone separating the Sea of Okhotsk plate from the West Kamchatka microplate (Bogdanov and Chekhovich, 2002). They surmise that a fragment of an ancient oceanic plateau makes up the Sea of Okhotsk microplate, while the West Kamchatka plate is quasi-continental crust (Bogdanov and Chekhovich, 2002). A back-arc extensional model for the origin of the Sea of Okhotsk has recently been proposed, where basement rocks are inferred to be comprised of a variety of Mesozoic terranes in a south-propagating extensional zone that has progressively extended since the Eocene (Hourigan, 2003).

Previous work has revealed that rocks of the Omgon Range include an imbricated complex of volcanic, siliceous, and carbonate rocks (Lower Cretaceous Kingiveem Formation) and a terrigenous complex (Lower to Upper Cretaceous Omgon Series) (Markovsky, 1989). The volcanic–siliceous rock complex (Kingiveem Formation) has been dated as Middle Jurassic (Bajocian-Bathonian) to Lower Cretaceous (Lower Aptian) based on radiolarians (Bondarenko and Sokolkov, 1990; Bogdanov et al., 1991; Vishnevskaya et al., 1999). Flora and fauna from the Omgon Series indicate that accumulation of the terrigenous rocks occurred in the mid Cretaceous (in this case, Albion to Santonian) (Vlasov, 1964).

3. Geological structure of the Omgon Range

Following our mapping and field investigations, we subdivide Mesozoic rocks of the Omgon Range (Fig. 2) into a volcanic complex and a terrigenous complex, which are tectonically interleaved. The volcanic complex consists of pillow and massive aphyric, olivine–plagioclase and plagioclase–microphyric, commonly amygdaloidal, basalt, dolerite-basalt, and dolerite enclosing interlayers and lenses of chert, siliceous mudstone, and, rarely, pelagic limestone. All rocks of the volcanic complex make up fault-bounded blocks and sedimentary slide blocks in the rocks of the terrigenous complex. The terrigenous complex consists of sandstones, siltstones, and mudstones, commonly with a flysch-like alternation and interbedded thick conglomerate beds.

Several tectonic slices have been mapped in the southern segment of the Omgon Range. These slices consist of volcanic rocks in panels that dip almost exclusively to the northwest (Fig. 2). Structural observations at site 3 (Fig. 2) reveal that both the volcanic and the terrigenous rocks dip predominantly to the northwest (Fig. 3E) and faults that bound the slides and blocks dip to the west (Fig. 3F). An oblique relationship between the average fold axis (rz-axis) and fault strike probably reflects a strike-slip component of displacement along a master fault.

While most folds in the Omgon rocks verge north and northwest, there is generally a chaotic distribution of fold axes (Figs. 2 and 3C,D). This observation may suggest that the southern part of site 2 experienced rotation because vergence differs dramatically from vergence of rocks at sites 3 and 1. Block rotation may be additional evidence for strike-slip displacement. Two kilometers south of Cape Promezhutochny, rocks of the terrigenous complex are truncated by a nearly vertical, northeast-trending fault with a strike-slip component (see Fig. 2), but the direction of strike-slip movement is not clear. Terrigenous rocks do not enclose any blocks of volcanic rocks north of this fault (site 1; see Fig. 2). Competent terrigenous rocks (sandstones and conglomerates) make up a large southeast–northwest-trending anticline (Fig. 3A) and more plastic thin-bedded
shale in the core of the anticline are isoclinally folded with chaotically oriented fold axes (Fig. 3B). This pattern might have been produced by deformation of poorly lithified sediments or disharmonic folding. Numerous sills of gabbro, diorite, quartz diorite, granodiorite, and leucocratic granite, as well as quartz monzonite and granite–porphyry, cut the rocks of the terrigenous complex at site 1 (Ledneva, 2001).

Non-marine, coal-bearing strata of the Middle Eocene Snatol Formation unconformably overlies the deformed and folded Mesozoic rocks (Gladenkov et al., 1991). This sharp angular unconformity between the terrigenous complex and the Eocene rocks has been described in the northern part of the Omgon Range (site 2, Fig. 2). Here, basal conglomerates consist of lithologies typical of the underlying pre-Tertiary rocks of the Omgon Range (volcanic and terrigenous rocks) and of the crosscutting sills. The Snatol Formation is folded near the contact into tight to isoclinal folds with a northwest vergence (Fig. 3G). These asymmetric folds suggest a local displacement of the Eocene deposits northwestward (Fig. 3H). The folding of the Tertiary deposits becomes less intense with distance from the pre-Cenozoic rocks, and
1.5 km east of the mouth of the Mainach River, these deposits are folded in a gentle east-dipping monocline (Fig. 2).

These structural observations indicate that rocks in the Omgon Range experienced at least two deformations. The younger deformation must be post-Middle Eocene, and it resulted in the folding of the Middle Eocene rocks (and the underlying sequences), with the principal axis of contraction oriented southeast–northwest (Fig. 3 G,H). The older deformation must be pre-Eocene, as this is the age of rocks that rest above the unconformity. The tectonic interleaving of the terrigenous and volcanic rocks likely occurred during this first stage. The deformed rocks are Albian–Santonian, and because deformation may have been contemporaneous with deposition of the terrigenous rocks, we suspect that at least some of the earlier deformation occurred before Eocene deformation.

### 4. Composition and age of the rocks in the Omgon Range

#### 4.1. Volcanic complex

The volcanic complex consists of sheets of pillow and massive, commonly amygdaloidal, basalt, olitic dolerite, and dolerite enclosing interlayers and lenses of chert, siliceous mudstone, and minor limestone. Basalts at the top of sheets are represented by aphyric clinopyroxene–plagioclase and plagioclase–microphyric varieties. They display sheaf-like and, more rarely, hyalopilitic and vitrophyric textures in the groundmass. Glass is completely replaced by an aggregate of light-green chlorite and finely disseminated magnetite; there are a few spilitized rocks. The central and basal parts of the sheets consist of well-crystallized, medium-grained doleritic basalts and dolerites.
composed of elongated and tabular plagioclase crystals and
idiomorphic and subhedral crystals of clinopyroxene and
magnetite, the magnetite locally forming accumulations.
Small plagioclase laths are locally enclosed in larger
clinopyroxene crystals. The interstices between these
crystals are filled with an aggregate of radiated-axial
chlorite and finely disseminated magnetite. The rocks
have a doleritic texture. Clinopyroxene crystals are fresh,
while plagioclase crystals are almost completely replaced
with saussurite, and the magnetite locally shows evidence of
oxidation. Amygdules in the basalts are filled with various
minerals, carbonate and carbonate + magnetite being most
common, and the association of carbonate + quartz or
alkaline chlorite is less common. Veinlets in fractured
rocks are filled with the same minerals and, more rarely,
with tremolite, which indicates a supply of carbonate, silica,
and alkalis. The discovery of exfoliation tuffs in the basalt
sheets, as well as the presence of interlayers and lenses of
chert, siliceous mudstone, and limestone, suggests that these
basalts erupted in a subaqueous environment. The volcanic
rocks have been weakly metamorphosed under low-
temperature and low-pressure conditions.

The rocks examined showed high loss on ignition (LOI =
4.55–12.44%) (Table 1), as well as metamorphic alteration.
Both observations preclude using most of the mobile
elements for reconstructing the geodynamic conditions
that existed during emplacement of the volcanic rocks.
The concentrations of SiO₂, MgO, and Fe₂O₃ decline
dramatically with increasing LOI, which suggests that these
components have been removed during alteration. However,
the variations in the Fe₂O₃ and MgO abundances as a
function of the LOI values are identical, implying that ratios
between these components are essentially unmodified.

Variations in the contents of major- and trace-elements
vary with the Mg# (100 × Mg/([Mg + Fe_total])) and allow us to
distinguish two petrologic types of basalt: (a) poorly and
moderately differentiated basalts (MgO = 9.12–7.29%) with
normal iron content (Fe*/Mg = 1.17–1.69); and (b) highly
differentiated basalts (MgO = 4.12–4.28%) with an elevated
iron content (FeO*/MgO = 2.07–3.19). The behavior of
major and trace elements in both of the recognized groups of
rocks is compatible with crystallization from a melt with
increasing differentiation of olivine + clinopyroxene + pla-
gioclase and clinopyroxene + plagioclase + magnetite.

The Na₂O and K₂O contents and the high FeO*/MgO
ratios suggest that the volcanic rocks of both types are close
to the tholeiite series. Despite differences in some petrochemical-specific features, basalts with normal and
elevated iron contents belong to the same geochemical type.
Basalts of both types are highly depleted in LREE relative to
HREE ([La/Yb]₀ = 0.37–0.86 and 0.42–0.65, respectively)
and have uniform Zr/Y (1.24–2.76 and 2.28–2.95) and
Zr/Sm (23.5–27.7 and 25.5–30.2) ratios (Table 1). These
parameters, together with discrimination diagrams (Fig. 4),
place them close to N-MORB type basalts from oceanic
spreading centers (and/or from those of marginal seas).
Regionally these are similar to the Upper Jurassic–Lower
Cretaceous N-MORB exposed at Cape Povorotny (Taigons
Peninsula) and in the Talovskie Mountains (northern
Koryak) (Khanchuk et al., 1990; Grigor’ev et al., 1995;
Sokolov et al., 2001; Silantyev et al., 2000).

4.2. Age of the volcanic complex

Previous work has confirmed the age of the volcanic
rocks in the Omgon Range as Middle Jurassic–Early
Cretaceous based on radiolarians from interbedded chert
(Bondarenko and Sokolkov, 1990; Bogdanov et al., 1991;
Vishnevskaya et al., 1999). We collected our own samples
of siliceous rocks and undertook our own radiolarian
analysis (Soloviev et al., 2001), and these indicate a Late
Jurassic–Early Cretaceous age for the host rocks (determina-
tions by T.N. Palechek). Buchia from this unit are
Valanginian in age for siliceous rocks from the volcanic
complex (Buchia inflata (Lahusen) and Buchia sublaevis
(Keyseling) identified by V.A. Zakharov) (see Kirillova
and Kiriyanova, 2003) (Table 2).

4.3. Terrigenous complex

Sandstones of the terrigenous complex are poorly sorted,
angular graywackes. The sandstones are quartz–feldspar–
and feldspar–quartz, graywackes. Various volcanic rock
fragments and mudstone fragments are present among the
rock fragments, Basalt, andesite, and rhyodacite fragments
occur among the volcanic rock fragments, as well as
fragments of devitrified glass. Mudstone fragments are
especially common (up to 25%) among the sedimentary
rocks clasts. Second in abundance are sedimentary rock
fragments consisting of a fine-grained, tuffaceous material.
Siliceous sedimentary rock fragments (i.e. chert) are
relatively rare (1–4%). The sediments also contain a
minor, but common occurrence of dispersed coalified
plant detritus. Fragments of metamorphic rock fragments
(quartzite and mica schist) are rare (less than 3%), although
they occur in all samples investigated. The overall
composition suggests derivation from a dissected volcanic
arc as discussed by Shapiro et al. (2001).

The specific chemical features of mudstones from the
flysch succession support the conclusion that the clastic
rocks were derived from a dissected continental volcanic
arc. The mudrocks are comparable, in terms of the
abundance of HFS lithophile elements, as well as
intermediate and heavy rare-earth elements (REE), with
the average post-Archean shale (PAAS), whose compo-
sition suggests derivation from a dissected volcanic
arc as discussed by Shapiro et al. (2001).

The specific chemical features of mudstones from the
flysch succession support the conclusion that the clastic
rocks were derived from a dissected continental volcanic
arc. The mudrocks are comparable, in terms of the
abundance of HFS lithophile elements, as well as
intermediate and heavy rare-earth elements (REE), with
the average post-Archean shale (PAAS), whose compo-
sition suggests derivation from a dissected volcanic
arc as discussed by Shapiro et al. (2001).
anomalies \((Ta/Ta^* = 0.32–0.37)\) in multi-element diagrams, where the mudstone compositions are normalized to the primitive mantle (Fig. 5c), are identical to those in the volcanic rocks of the calc-alkalic series. This result suggests that the mudstones of the terrigenous complex were mainly produced by the erosion of upper continental crust. Deviations in the composition of mudstones from that of PAAS were most probably caused by the predominant contribution of volcanics from the active continental margin or from an ensialic island arc.

The composition of mudstones was apparently controlled by variable contributions from several sources, such as,

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major oxides (wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.31</td>
<td>49.91</td>
<td>43.63</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>44.53</td>
<td>48.12</td>
<td>46.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>13.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>51.39</td>
<td>46.28</td>
<td>41.57</td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>56.64</td>
<td>35.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>100−Mg²⁺/(Mg²⁺+Fe²⁺)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace and rare-earth elements (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Mg# = 100×Mg²⁺/(Mg²⁺+Fe²⁺). The samples were crushed and powdered at the Laboratory of Miralogical and Fission-track analyses at the Geological Institute, RAS using the jaw crushe, vibrating cup mill and jasper mortar. The major- and trace-element contents were determined by X-ray fluorescence at the United Institute of Geology, Geophysics and Mineralogy, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences (Novosibirsk, Russia) using standard procedures for controlling accuracy and reproducibility of the analysis. The trace elements were analyzed using an Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (PerkinElmer/SciexElan 6100 DRC) at the Institute of Mineralogy, Geochemistry and Crystal Chemistry of Rare Earth Elements (Moscow, Russia). Sample preparation was done using a low-pressure HF digestion.
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the upper continental crust, reworked sedimentary rocks, a young undifferentiated arc, a young differentiated arc, and exotic components. The high Th/U ratio (3.06–3.77), as well as Th/Sc and Th/Zr ratios, suggest that the sediments were not involved in significant recycling and also imply the insignificant effect of weathering on the composition of the mudstones. High Th/U ratios (3.06–3.77) and negative Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.72–0.97) suggest that continental crust made a contribution. However, low Th/Sc (0.35–0.52 < 1) and La/Sc (1.00–1.49 < 4.0) ratios and moderate La/Th ratios (2.844–2.88), in combination with the rather low Hf content (5.29–5.61 ppm), suggest a significant erosion of acid volcanic rocks in an active island arc or along an active continental margin (McLennan et al., 1993). Thus, mudstones of the terrigenous complex were presumably derived from volcanic rocks in an active island arc build on continental crust that was locally dissected. The likely source is compatible with, but not restricted to, the mid-Cretaceous Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt.

4.4. Detrital zircon thermochronology

Cooling ages of detrital zircon from sandstones can provide minimum constraining ages for the time of deposition as well as information about the cooling of source terrains (Garver and Brandon, 1994; Garver et al., 1999, 2000; Soloviev et al., 2002a,b; Bernet and Garver, in press). Fauna and flora fossils from these rocks indicate Albian–Santonian deposition for the terrigenous complex (Vlasov, 1964). Detrital zircons were separated from eight samples of sandstones from the terrigenous complex, and from one from the unconformably overlying Eocene strata.
The ages of individual zircon grains were determined by the external-detector method, and 45–75 zircon grains were dated for each sample (Wagner and Van den Haute, 1992; Garver et al., 1999; see Supplementary material, Table 3, Fig. 6A,B). Our analysis of the distribution of fission-track ages from the terrigenous complex (not for Eocene deposits) determined that the samples have two or three component populations (P) of the following main age groups: P1: 114–80 Ma; P2: 187–142 Ma; and P3: c. 250 Ma. The presence of zircons of various ages in the sandstones, as well as the lack of secondary metamorphic minerals, suggest that the rocks were not heated above the zircon closure temperature after deposition (approximately 200–240 °C) (Brandon and Vance, 1992; Garver et al., 2005). Hence, it is likely that the ages of the populations reflect the cooling events of the rocks in the source area, and not subsequent heating events.

The youngest population, P1, has an age range of 114.5±7.2–80.0±4.1 Ma (Albian to earliest Campanian; see Fig. 6d). Zircons from the younger population are mainly colorless idiomorphic crystals, which are characteristic, but not diagnostic, of first cycle zircons. These zircons most probably originated from volcanic activity synchronous with the flysch accumulation. It has been demonstrated in a number of papers that the age of the youngest zircon population is close to the age of the rock deposits, provided that volcanic activity occurred in the immediate vicinity of the sedimentary basin at the time of sedimentation (Garver et al., 1999, 2000; Shapiro et al., 2001; Soloviev et al., 2002b; Bernet and Garver, in press). Therefore, rocks of the terrigenous complex accumulated from Albian to earliest Campanian time assuming volcanism was active in the source area.

Samples of sandstones and mudstones were collected in the Rassoshina River Valley (east of the Omgon Range); these rocks are overlain by chert and pillow basalts. Because no fauna remains were discovered in the flysch in these exposures, these FT minimum ages provide the first...
7.0 Ma) suggests reheating during a thermal episode
of the Maastrichtian. The apatite age from sample OM3 (57.7
Ma) is consistent with a geothermal gradient of 25
°C/km during the Cretaceous (Laslett et al., 1991; Soloviev et al., 2002a).

Numerous differentiated sills (Fig. 2) of basalt, basaltic
andesite, andesite, dacite, and rhyolite and their holocrystalline equivalents intrude deposits of the terrigenous rock complex in the northern part of the Omgon Range (Ledneva et al., 2001). The sills were deformed along with the enclosing terrigenous deposits. The age of the sills was determined by the fission-track dating of apatite and zircon (see Table 4), and apparently the sills cooled, and therefore were likely to have been emplaced, in the Late Paleocene (63–60 Ma).

A sandstone sample from the basal horizons of the Eocene Snatol Formation that unconformity overlies the Cretaceous oceanic volcanics are tectonically mixed with mid-Cretaceous continental margin sediments in a structural complex formed during the latest Cretaceous. Volcanic

5. Interpretation

A basic conclusion from our study is that Jura-
Cretaceous oceanic volcanics are tectonically mixed with mid-Cretaceous continental margin sediments in a structural complex formed during the latest Cretaceous.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N sample</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P1 Age range (Ma)</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OM1</td>
<td>Eocene deposits</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45.2±3.2 (39.2%)</td>
<td>68.3±13.0 (17.9%)</td>
<td>101.2±9.7 (35.7%)</td>
<td>293.0±60.7 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM3</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80.0±4.1 (94.6%)</td>
<td>175.7±50.5 (5.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM9</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>85.3±4.2 (95.2%)</td>
<td>167.8±33.6 (4.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM30</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>90.6±9.0 (52.8%)</td>
<td>151.3±17.3 (47.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM27</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>99.8±5.8 (83.2%)</td>
<td>187.0±27.9 (16.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM34</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>102.0±18.9 (18.8%)</td>
<td>142.2±12.0 (68.1%)</td>
<td>248.2±28.8 (13.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM22</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>114.5±7.2 (69.8%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>237.1±25.3 (30.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM50</td>
<td>Terrigenous complex</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>77.7±6.6 (49.7%)</td>
<td>96.6±11.4 (46.0%)</td>
<td>198.3±64.8 (4.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. N = number of grains; percentage of grains calculated in a specific peak; Age for each population is in Ma; uncertainties cited at ±1σ. Zircons were dated using standard methods for FT dating using an external detector. Mounts were etched in NaOH–KOH at 228°C for 15 and 30 h and then irradiated at Oregon State with a fluence of 2×10^{15} n/cm², along with zircon standards and dosimeter CN-5. Tracks were counted on an Olympus BX60 at 1600×, and a z-factor of 348.2±11.0 was used. Fission-track ages were computed using the program Zetaage 4.7 (Brandon, 1996). To discriminate the populations by age, we used the program Binomfit 1.8 (Brandon, 1996).

4.6. Cenozoic rocks of the Omgon Range

The Upper Cretaceous flysch deposits (Rassoshina River valley) experienced a different thermotectonic evolution, because they have AFT cooling ages of c. 38 Ma. This young cooling event might have been associated with the transient thermal affects of the Eocene Kinkil volcanic belt (Gladenkov et al., 1991; Soloviev et al., 2002a).
Fig. 6. Probability density plots (A, B and C with histograms) for representative fission-track grain-age distributions from the Omgon Range (Western Kamchatka). Thick lines show probability density distribution, and dashed lines show the best-fit peaks, as reported in Table 3. The fission-track minimum age corresponds to the age of the youngest peak. Plots were constructed according to Brandon (1996). Age is plotted on a logarithmic axis. The probability density scale is the same for both the density plots and the histograms. Density units are given relative to $dZ^{-0.1}$, which corresponds to an interval on the age scale approximately equal to 10% of the age. Plot (D) of fission-track zircon results for sandstone from Western Kamchatka (Table 3). Circles—minimum ages (young population P1), triangles (P2), squares (P3), rhomb (P4)—older peak ages, respectively. Error bars show the 63% confidence intervals.
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. sample</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Elev (m)</th>
<th>(p_\alpha)</th>
<th>(N_\alpha)</th>
<th>(\rho_\alpha)</th>
<th>(N_\alpha)</th>
<th>(\rho_d)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>(-\ell +1\sigma)</th>
<th>(U) (ppm)</th>
<th>(\pm 2\sigma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O98-27</td>
<td>Sill (gabbro)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>231.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O98-43</td>
<td>Sill (gabbro)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>350.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O98-27</td>
<td>Sill (gabbro)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM3</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM22</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM24</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>73.7*</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM27</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM45</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM39</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rassoshina River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM48</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>37.6*</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM50</td>
<td>Terrigenous</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.0*</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. In this table, \(p_\alpha\) is the density (cm\(^2\)) of spontaneous tracks (\(\times 10^{15}\)) and \(N_\alpha\) is the number of spontaneous tracks counted; \(\rho_d\) is the density (cm\(^2\)) of tracks on the fluence monitor (\(\times 10^3\)); \(n\) is the number of grains counted; and \(\chi^2\) is the Chi squared probability in percent. Fission-track ages (\(\pm 1\sigma\)) were calculated using the \(\zeta\)-method, and ages were calculated using the computer program and equations in (Brandon, 1996). The \(\zeta\)-factors were 104.32 \(\pm 10^4\) for apatite based on CN1 calibration) and 348.2 \(\pm 1\) 11.02 (for zircon based on CN5 calibration). All ages that pass \(\chi^2\) (\(>5\%\)) are reported as pooled ages, otherwise first population ages calculated by BinomFit 1.8 (Brandon, 1996; Brandon, 2002) are shown (denoted by *).

Glass (CN-1) monitors, placed at the top and bottom of all irradiation packages (for \(\zeta\) calculations) were used to determine the fluence gradient in each package.

After etching, mounts were covered with a low-uranium mica detector, and irradiated with thermal neutrons at Oregon State University with a nominal fluences of \(10^15\) n/cm\(^2\) (for zircon), along with a standards (Fish Canyon Tuff, Buluk Tuff) and a reference glass dosimeter CN1 (for apatite) and CN5 (for zircon). All samples were counted at 1600X using a dry 100X objective (10 oculars and 1.6X multiplication factor) on Olympus BX60 microscope fitted with an automated stage and a Calcomp digitizing tablet.

rocks of the Omgon Range formed during the latest Jurassic–Early Cretaceous in an oceanic or marginal sea setting. Basalts of this complex are comparable with N-MORB from oceanic-type spreading centers. It is possible that the paleo-Pacific-Izanagi plate (Engelbretn et al., 1985) was the source of the volcanic blocks.

Terrigenous rocks accumulated as turbidites in submarine fans during the Albian to the Campanian in a continental-margin environment. The composition of the mudstones and sandstones suggest the source was a dissected volcanic arc, probably the Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt, which was built on continental basement of the Eurasian margin. Blocks and slides of the volcanic rocks have tectonic contacts with the terrigenous rocks, which make up the matrix of the succession.

Thus, rocks of different ages that were formed in different geodynamic settings are tectonically mixed. This mixing of oceanic lithologies within a matrix of terrigenous rocks suggests that the rock units of the Omgon Range are part of an accretionary prism. In this scenario, slides and blocks of oceanic origin were accreted during subduction and mixed with the terrigenous Albian–earliest Campanian deposits of the continental-margin.

Fission-track dating of apatite suggests that this accretionary prism was exhumed to a near-surface level (<c. 4 km) by the Maastrichtian (~70 Ma), about 10–20 Myr after deposition. FT ages of zircon and apatite from felsic sills shed light on the level of exhumation of the accretionary complex in the Late Cretaceous. Most of the cooling ages (both ZFT and AFT) fall between 60 and 70 Ma (Table 4), and some ZFT and AFT ages are nearly concordant (i.e. sample 98–28), which suggests that at that time, the enclosing rocks were at relatively shallow levels (<4 km). Intrusion of the felsic dikes and sills probably marked the end of accretion of material in this system. If this is the case, the accretion process had been completed by the Late Cretaceous, and rocks of the Omgon Range were incorporated into the structure of the continental margin. In the Late Paleocene, sills and dikes intruded into the accretionary prism at a latitude close to the present-day position of the Omgon Range as indicated by paleomagnetic studies (Chernov and Kovalenko, 2001). This intrusion signals an extremely important oceanward shift in the locus of arc magmatism in the latest Cretaceous to Early Tertiary.

6. Regional setting

An important aspect of paleogeographic reconstructions is the regional distribution of arc and forearc complexes along the NE Eurasian margin. Previous studies have not fully investigated the lateral continuity of Cretaceous accretionary complexes along the northeastern Eurasian margin. This lack of analysis is partly due to discontinuity of exposures in remote, difficult to access locations. Because exposures are limited, there is considerable uncertainty in tectonic reconstructions for the evolution of the northeast Eurasian margin in the Cretaceous. Here we try to fill this
gap by piecing together relics of the Cretaceous accretionary complexes along the NW Eurasian margin.

Throughout much of the northwestern Pacific, accumulation of terrigenous strata commenced in the Albian in basins genetically associated with subduction under the Eastern-Asian volcanic belt (Belyy, 1977; Filatova, 1988; Zonenshain et al., 1990; Belyy, 1994; Hourigan and Akinin, 2004). The Eastern-Asian volcanic belt is a laterally extensive Andean-style arc subdivided into different sectors based on differences in the basement rock types and lithologic similarity of volcanic sections within specific geographic regions (Belyy, 1977; Melankholina, 2000) (Fig. 7). From north to south these sub-divisions include: Chukotka–Alaska, Okhotsk-Chukotka, Eastern Sikhote-Alin, and Korea–Japan. Terrigenous sedimentation occurred in syn-subduction forearc basins along the eastern Eurasian margin (Melankholina, 2000; Garver et al., 2000; Shapiro et al., 2001; Soloviev et al., 2001; Zharov, 2003). These basins include, from north to south, the Bering Sea basin, Ukelayat basin, North Okhotsk basin, Western Kamchatka basin, Western Sakhalin basin, Ieso basin, and the Shimanto basin. Clastic rocks in these basins accumulated in slightly different tectonic settings, but all record erosion of the arc and deposition along the continental margin. Continental and shallow-water forearc basins, and post-Albian molasse deposits, are known in the Penzhina and Northern Koryak regions (Zinkevich, 1981; Filatova, 1988; Sokolov, 1992).

The Albian–Campanian forearc basin deposits have been described in the Penzhina Guba (Bay) area northwest of northern Kamchatka (Tuchkova et al., 2003). The Western Sakhalin and Ieso forearc basins (Fig. 7) contain Aptian–Paleocene clastic deposits derived from the Eastern Sikhote-Alin volcanic belt (Melankholina, 2000; Zharov, 2003).

The continent-derived flysch with exotic ocean-derived blocks is typical and are interpreted to have been imbricated in accretionary wedges along the continental margin (i.e. Cowan, 1985). The relics of the accretionary wedge related to Cretaceous subduction are known in the following segments of the present-day tectonic framework of the eastern Eurasian margin (Fig. 7): Yanranai (Korayk Upland) (Grigor’ev et al., 1987; Sokolov, 1992), Omgon (Western Kamchatka) (this study), Tonino–Aniva (southeastern Sakhalin) (Zharov, 2003), Hidaka (Kiminami et al., 1992; Zharov, 2003) and Shimanto (Taira et al., 1988). Hence, we are impressed not only by the similarity of these units, but also the apparent lateral continuity of this N-S petrotectonic assemblage. We review the occurrences of these rocks below, starting in the north and working southward.

The three tectonic slices were described in the Yanranai accretionary complex in the Korayk upland of northeastern Kamchatka (from Grigor’ev et al., 1987; Sokolov, 1992). The slices consist of oceanic crust fragments with different ages, but younger rocks have a lower structural position. The terrigenous rocks, inferred to have been derived from the Eurasian margin, occur in the upper part of the each slice. The accretion of the Jurassic–Neocomian oceanic rocks, presumably part of the Izanagi or Kula plate, occurred at the end of the Early Cretaceous. The second stage of accretion was at the end of the Late Cretaceous. The final stage was completed in the Maastrichtian when an olistostrome unit formed.
Rocks of the Tonino–Aniva Peninsula (southeastern Sakhalin Island, western part of the Sea of Okhotsk) are inferred to have accumulated in an accretionary prism (Zharov, 2003). The Tonino–Aniva complex consists of a mid-Cretaceous turbidite and olistostrome unit with tectonic slivers of volcanic rocks inferred to be fragments of a Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous seamount. The structural lower part of the complex is represented by Upper Cretaceous turbidites. The Tinino-Aniva complex is inferred to have formed as a result of Aptian–Cenomanian subduction of the Sorachi oceanic plateau and syn-accretionary turbidites in the Late Cretaceous.

Farther south, the Hidaka terrane on Hokkaido Island (Japan), has been described as a Late Cretaceous–Early Eocene accretionary wedge (Kimimani et al., 1992; Zharov, 2003). The terrane contains continental-derived terrigenous mélangé that is probably a dismembered turbidite complex with an eastern structural vergence. The change from hemipelagic rocks low in the section to clastic rocks higher in the sequence shows that the depositional setting varied from abyssal plain to continental margin.

The best-studied example of the accretionary wedge from the entire belt is the Shimanto (Taira et al., 1988; Suzuki, 1988; Matsumoto et al., 1988; Hasebe et al., 1993; Hashimoto and Kimura, 1999; Hasebe and Tagami, 2001). The Shimanto Belt has an overall younging trend from north to south (Taira et al., 1988), but here we are mainly concerned with the older part of the belt that is similar in age to those to the north. The Upper Cretaceous part of the Shimanto Belt includes turbiditic sandstone and shale and minor conglomerate interbedded with hemipelagic varicolored shale. The biostratigraphic ages from the flysch unit range from Coniacian to Campanian. Mešange, which occurs as several linear belts sandwiched between flysch units, is composed of a highly deformed argillaceous ‘matrix’ with various-sized tectonic slivers. The tectonic slivers include pillow basalts, chert, and varicolored shale. A remarkably constant age-lithology relationship occurs in this unit: the tectonic slivers contain dated rocks from the Tithonian to Cenomanian, and the sheared argillaceous matrix yields mostly Campanian radiolaria (Taira et al., 1988). Most of the meta-basalts in the Shimanto mélangé zones are considered to have originally been ocean-floor basalts (MORB), but also include alkali basalts possibly derived from volcanic islands or seamounts (Suzuki, 1988). The overwhelming geological evidence suggests that the Shimanto Belt is an accretionary prism of Cretaceous to Tertiary age (Taira et al., 1988). The contemporaneous Cretaceous volcanic-plutonic belt is distributed along a linear belt extending from Japan to Shikhote Alin to the north. This same plutonic belt can be traced northward into the Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt (OCVB).

Our new data suggest that the Omgon accretionary complex belongs to this family of mid to Upper Cretaceous accretionary complexes that accumulated along the NW Pacific margin (Fig. 7). The Omgon is similar to the Yanranai (northern Koryak), Tonino–Aniva (southeastern Sakhalin), Hidaka (northeastern Japan) and Cretaceous Shimanto belt (southwestern Japan) in age, structure and tectonic position. Together, these accretionary complexes record Cretaceous subduction under northeastern Eurasian, assuming there has not been significant tectonic translation along the margin. One important aspect to note about this family of accretionary complexes is that the ones farthest south (Japan) appear to have continued from the Cretaceous to Eocene, but to the north there is no evidence of this complex having ages younger than the Cretaceous. It is possible that this difference can be attributed to accretion and outboard jump in accretion after the Cretaceous in the northern areas.

The Omgon accretionary complex separated from the Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt due to extension of the Sea of Okhotsk, the evolution of which is poorly known (see geological overview). We speculate that our new data are consistent with the back-arc extensional model of the origin of the Sea of Okhotsk (Hourigan, 2003). The basement rocks of the Sea of Okhotsk are inferred to be comprised of a variety of terranes that were rifted apart since the Eocene. One terrane is the Omgon accretionary complex and presumably an inboard forearc basin.

7. Conclusions

The Omgon Range in Western Kamchatka is composed of southeast-verging interleaved tectonic units imbricated in a subduction setting. Sandstones are uniform in composition and the sediment is inferred to have been derived from a continental arc. FT depositional ages of detrital zircons from the Omgon flysch are Albian–Campanian, which is similar to the depositional age inferred from fossils. It is likely that this arc that supplied terrigenous sediments was the contemporaneous Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt partly because the main phase of volcanism and plutonism in this belt occurred during the mid-Cretaceous. The flysch is clearly imbricated with older oceanic rocks. The basalts are tholeiites similar to those associated with spreading centers within oceanic and marginal basins, and the overlying siliceous rocks are Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous in age. The Albian–Campanian continent-derived flysch with the exotic Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous ocean-derived blocks is a relict of the accretionary wedge related to Cretaceous subduction under the Eurasian margin. Internal imbrication of the Omgon complex was complete by the Maastrichtian (~70 Ma) when apatite fission-track cooling ages were recorded.

Other accretionary complexes to the north (northern Kamchatka) and to the south (Japan) consist of a broadly coeval terrigenous matrix and oceanic blocks, the blocks being older than the matrix. We suggest that these disparate occurrences of mid to Upper Cretaceous accretionary complexes retain a record and the gross position of
the subduction zone along the Eurasian margin. Cretaceous to Eocene ages of matrix rocks to the south may indicate that the subduction zone operated continuously to the south, but was interrupted to the north. We speculate that the Omgon accretionary complex was separated from the Okhotsk-Chukotka volcanic belt by extension that occurred during formation of the Sea of Okhotsk since Eocene time.

8. Uncited reference

Shutov et al. (1972).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to A.V. Lander, V.E. Verzhbitsky, D.V. Kurbilov for help during field work. The late N.A. Bodganov provided constant support and interest in this work. We also acknowledge fruitful discussions and technical support from V.S. Vishnevskaya and V.D. Chekhovich, as well as M.N. Shapiro for his data on the composition of the sandstones, and T.N. Palechek for radiolarian determinations. We acknowledge constructive reviews from A.K. Khudoley and P. Layer. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project nos. 02-05-64967, 05-05-64066; by the National Scientific Foundation (US) project OPP-991910 (Garver); by Russian Science Support Foundation. The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Award No. RG1-2568-MO-03 of the US Civilian Research and Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF). Irradiation of the samples was assisted by the US DOE Reactor Use Sharing program awarded to Dr S. Reese at the Oregon State University nuclear reactor (USA).

Appendix. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2005.04.009

References


Bernet, M., Garver, J.I., in press, Chapter 8: Fission-track analysis of Detrital zircon, In: P.W. Reiners, T. Ehlers, (Eds.), Low-Temperature thermochronology, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry Series.


